2014 SEP 16 PM 3: 17

September 16, 2014

OFFICE OF GEHERAL COUNSEL

**ORIGINAL** 

Jeff S. Jordan
Supervisory Attorney
Complaints Examination &
Legal Administration
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: MUR 6661/Additional Information

Dear Mr. Jordan:

As you know, the complaint in MUR 6661 alleged, inter alia, that Robert E. Murray, individually and in his capacity as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Murray Energy Corporation, Murray Energy Corporation, and the Murray Energy Corporation Political Action Committee all violated 52 U.S.C. § 30118(b)(3)(A) (formerly 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(3)(A)) and 11 C.F.R. § 114.5(a)(1) by using threats of financial reprisal, including the loss of employment, to coerce employees to contribute one percent of their salaries to the Murray Energy Corporation Political Action Committee. Complaint at ¶ 11-12, 14-15. New information has become public indicating the respondents in MUR 6661 are continuing to violate 52 U.S.C. § 30118 and 11 C.F.R. § 114.5(a)(1) by using both actual and threatened financial reprisals to coerce employees to contribute one percent of their salaries to the Murray Energy Corporation Political Action Committee.

On September 4, 2014, Jean F. Cochenour, a former prep plant shift foreman at the Murray Energy Corporation's Marion County mine, filed a wrongful termination lawsuit against Robert E. Murray, Murray Energy Corporation, and Consolidation Coal Company alleging, inter alia, the defendants had violated W. Va. Code § 3-9-15 by threatening her with the loss of employment in an effort to influence her political action. A copy of the complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Ms. Cochenour alleges that during the course of her employment and even thereafter, Mr. Murray repeatedly sent her written requests to contribute to certain political candidates and committees and that she was wrongfully terminated because of her failure to contribute to the candidates and committees of Mr. Murray's choice. See Exhibit A at ¶¶ 12-34.

Ms. Cochenour specifically corroborates the allegations of the complaint in MUR 6661. Ms. Cochenour alleges Mr. Murray and Murray Energy Corporation told managers at the company's "college" for managers that managers are expected to contribute one percent of their salaries to the Murray Energy Corporation Political Acton Committee. At least one manager at the Marion County mine told Ms. Cochenour and other foremen that failing to contribute as Mr. Murray requested could adversely affect their jobs. See Exhibit A at ¶¶ 17-19.

The complaint in MUR 6661 was filed nearly two years ago. Ms. Cochenour's complaint indicates that all the respondents in MUR 6661 – Robert E. Murray, Murray Energy Corporation, and the Murray Energy Corporation Political Action Committee – have since committed multiple additional violations of 52 U.S.C. § 30118(b)(3)(A) and 11 C.F.R. § 114.5(a)(1). Accordingly, Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington and Melanie Sloan request that the Federal Election Commission expand the investigation in MUR 6661 to include the additional allegations made by Ms. Cochenour, declare the respondents to have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act and applicable FEC regulations, impose sanctions appropriate to these violations, and take such further action as may be appropriate, including, but not limited to, referring this matter to the Department of Justice and the Attorney General of West Virginia for a criminal investigation.

Sincerely,

Melanie Sloan

**Executive Director** 

Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics

in Washington

409 7th Street, N.W.

Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20004

(202) 408-5565 (phone)

(202) 588-5020 (fax)

Encl.

Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington and Melanie Sloan hereby verify that the statements made herein are, upon information and belief, true.

Sworn pursuant to 18 5.C. § 1001.

Melanie Sloan

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 1/2 th day of September, 2014

Notary-Public

CARRIE LEVINE
NOTARY PUBLIC DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
My Commission Expires February 28, 2018

EXHIBIT A

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR MONONGALIA COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA
JEAN F. COCHENOUR,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 14-(1-681

ROBERT E. MURRAY, MURRAY ENERGY CORPORATION, a corporation, and CONSOLIDATION COAL COMPANY, a corporation,

Defendants.

### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Jean F. Cochenour brings this action against defendants Robert E. Murray, Murray Energy Corporation and Consolidation Coal Company for their wrongful termination of her employment in violation of the public policy of the State of West Virginia as evidenced by, inter alia: W. Va. Code § 3-9-15, which prohibits an employer from unlawfully influencing an employee's political action; Article III, Section 11 of the West Virginia Constitution, which states that "[n]o religious or political test oath shall be required as a prerequisite or qualification to vote, serve as a juror, sue, plead, appeal, or pursue any profession or employment"; and 18 U.S.C. § 594, which prohibits any person from interfering with another person's political choices. Plaintiff further brings this action against these defendants for their discriminatory and/or retaliatory acts and wrongful termination in violation of the West Virginia Human Rights Act ("WVHRA"), W. Va. Code § 5-11-1 et seq., particularly those sections prohibiting discrimination on the basis of sex, and § 5-11-9(7) prohibiting threats or reprisals and prohibiting an individual from aiding and abetting another in actions that violate the WVHRA.

FILED

SEP 04 2014

JEAN FRIEND CHECULT COURT

#### **PARTIES**

- 2. Plaintiff Jean F. Cochenour is, and at all times relevant has been, a resident of Pennsylvania and is a former employee of the defendants.
- 3. Defendant Robert E. Murray ("Mr. Murray" or "defendant Murray" and sometimes, with defendants Murray Energy Corporation and Consolidation Coal Company, "the Murray defendants"), on information and belief, is and at all times relevant has been, a resident of Belmont County, Ohio, and is the chief executive officer of defendant Murray Energy Corporation.
- 4. Defendant Murray Energy Corporation ("Murray Energy" and sometimes, with defendants Mr. Murray and Consolidation Coal Company, "the Murray defendants") is an authorized foreign corporation with its principal offices located in St. Clairsville, Belmont County, Ohio, and conducts business throughout West Virginia. It has, on information and belief, employed more than twelve (12) people in West Virginia for at least twenty (20) weeks during the current or previous calendar year. Defendant Murray Energy is, on information and belief, the parent company of defendant Consolidation Coal Company and of Ms. Cochenour's nominal employer the Marion County Coal Company.
- 5. Consolidation Coal Company ("Consolidation Coal" and sometimes, with defendants Mr. Murray and Murray Energy, "the Murray defendants") is an authorized foreign corporation with its principal offices located in St. Clairsville, Belmont County, Ohio, and is, on information and belief, a subsidiary of defendant Murray Energy. Consolidation Coal conducts business throughout West Virginia. It has, on information and belief, employed more than twelve (12) people in West Virginia for at least twenty (20) weeks during the current or previous calendar year.

6. Mr. Murray was, at all times relevant herein, acting as an agent of Murray Energy and the Consolidation Coal Company and, therefore, the defendant companies are liable for his tortious conduct including the wrongful termination of Ms. Cochenour.

#### FACTS

- 7. Plaintiff Jean F. Cochenour was, at all times relevant, an employee of the Murray defendants at their Marion County Coal Company mine ("Marion County mine"), formerly known as the Loveridge Mine, in Marion and Monongalia Counties, West Virginia.
- 8. Ms. Cochenour was employed as a prep plant shift foreman at the Marion County mine when the Murray defendants purchased the mine in late 2013.
- 9. Ms. Cochenour's duties did not change when the Murray defendants took over the mine.
- 10. At all times relevant herein, Ms. Cochenour was satisfactorily performing her duties as a prep plant shift foreman.
  - 11. Ms. Cochenour was the only female prep plant foreman.
- During her employment with the Murray defendants, and even after she was fired, Ms. Cochenour received written requests from defendant Murray to contribute to certain political candidates. See, e.g., Exhibit A (Murray 05/29/2014 letter to Cochenour).
- 13. Defendant Murray's letters to Ms. Cochenour and, on information and belief, to other comployees of the Murray defendants, included the names of specific candidates and a request from Mr. Murray that Ms. Cochenour contribute a specific amount to each candidate.
- 14. Not only did Mr. Murray name candidates and specify the amount to be contributed to each candidate but he also required that the political contributions he solicited from Ms. Cochenour be returned directly to him in a self-addressed envelope that he enclosed with each

of his letters to her. A copy of the self-addressed envelope used by Mr. Murray is attached as Exhibit B.

- 15. Murray began sending these letters with requests for political contributions to Ms. Cochenour and other management employees shortly after the Murray defendants took over the Marion County mine and continued sending the letters approximately every month until after Ms. Cochenour's termination from employment.
- 16. Ms. Cochenour did not respond to any of Mr. Murray's requests that she donate to the political candidates of Mr. Murray's choice and, in fact, threw his letters away. Although Ms. Cochenour did not preserve the letters she received prior to her termination, she did retain the letter from Mr. Murray that she received after her termination which was typical of the earlier letters. See Exhibit A.
- 17. The letters Ms. Cochenour received are not unique. On information and belief, Mr. Murray expects his management employees to support his personal political choices and he communicates his expectations to them by sending them letters such as the ones Ms. Cochenour received, by reminding them of his political preferences and of the importance of supporting those preferences in management meetings, and by telling those who attend the Murray defendants' "college" for managers that the managers are expected to voluntarily contribute 1% of their salary to Mr. Murray's political action committee ("PAC").
- 18. On information and belief, Mr. Murray has a long history of requiring his employees to contribute part of their salaries to his PAC.
- 19. At least one manager at the Marion County mine told Ms. Cochenour and other foremen that failing to contribute as Mr. Murray requested could adversely affect their jobs.

- 20. Mr. Murray's willingness to impose his political beliefs and choices on others is further evidenced by his policy of requiring, on information and belief, individuals and entities who wish to supply goods or services to the defendant companies to make financial contributions to support his own political views. Failing to contribute risks the loss of business.
- 21. Mr. Murray's expectation that management employees and vendors support his preferred political candidates and/or his PAC has, at all times relevant herein, been known to management at the defendants' coal mines.
- 22. On information and belief, Mr. Murray was aware of and/or kept track of who among his employees and contractors made the requested political contributions.
  - 23. Ms. Cochenour declined to contribute to Mr. Murray's chosen political candidates.
- 24. In early May 2014, on her day off, Ms. Cochenour received a call at home at about 9:30 a.m. advising her that she and other prep plant foremen were ordered to meet individually with Mr. Murray at his office in St. Clairsville, Ohio, at 11:00 a.m.
  - 25. Ms. Cochenour drove to St. Clairsville to meet with Mr. Murray.
- 26. During this meeting Mr. Murray was rude to, screamed at and then fired Ms. Cochenour even though she had done nothing wrong in her work.
- 27. Mr. Murray's alleged reason for firing Ms. Cochenour is pretextual. Mr. Murray claimed he fired Ms. Cochenour because she allowed hourly employees to work overtime on a Saturday contrary to his directive. However, (a) Ms. Cochenour was never told not to allow overtime work on a Saturday, (b) hourly employees were routinely scheduled for mandatory overtime on Saturdays in the prep plant, and (c) Ms. Cochenour was not even in charge of the schedule for hourly employees in the prep plant.

- 28. On information and belief, the Murray defendants singled out Ms. Cochenour and two male shift foremen for termination even though the defendants knew that these foremen were not responsible for the scheduling that was the purported reason for the terminations.
- 29. As Mr. Murray has done on other occasions after firing an employee in a fit of anger, one of the terminated male shift foremen was later reinstated or rehired to employment.
  - 30. However, Ms. Cochenour was not rehired.
- 31. On information and belief, the other shift foreman, who was also not rehired, was also fired for reasons that are contrary to law.
- 32. Although Ms. Cochenour was fired, several male foremen who were also called in to meet with Mr. Murray because of his alleged concern that foremen were allowing hourly employees to work overtime were not fired and, as noted above, one of the male foremen who was fired was promptly rehired by Mr. Murray.
- 33. Prior to firing Ms. Cochenour, Mr. Murray was concerned that too many of his management employees were not contributing to Mr. Murray's political candidates of choice.
- 34. The defendants fired Ms. Cochenour unlawfully because of an animus against her as the only female foreman at the mine and/or because of her failure to donate to the candidates of Mr. Murray's choice.
- 35. By firing foremen in the unlawful manner in which he fired Ms. Cochenour, Mr. Murray knows and understands that he can and does create a concern among the remaining foremen that, if they fail to contribute to his candidates, they may also lose their jobs.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Wrongful Discharge in Violation of Substantial Public Policy Against All Defendants)

- 36. The actions of the Murray defendants in terminating plaintiff Cochenour's employment and/or not rehiring her were motivated in whole or in part by Ms. Cochenour's failure to acquiesce to the Murray defendants' attempts to intimidate, coerce or otherwise illegally require Ms. Cochenour to contribute to political candidates whom she did not support.
- 37. Terminating plaintiff Cochenour's employment for this reason violates the substantial public policy of the State of West Virginia as articulated in federal and state election laws and in the opinions of the Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia. See, e.g., W. Va. Code §§ 3-8-11(b) and 3-9-15, which prohibit an employer from unlawfully influencing an employee's political action; Article III, Section 11 of the West Virginia Constitution, which states that "[n]o religious or political test oath shall be required as a prerequisite or qualification to vote, serve as a juror, sue, plead, appeal, or pursue any profession or employment"; W. Va. Code § 3-8-8(c)(2)(A), which prohibits the use of PAC funds secured by threat, force, discrimination or reprisal, and the state Rules promulgated under § 3-8-8 at 146 W.V.C.S.R. § 1.1 et seq.; 18 U.S.C. § 594, which prohibits any person from interfering with another person's political choices; the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, 2 U.S.C. § 431 et seq., including § 441b(3)(A); the regulations of the Federal Election Commission at 11 C.F.R. § 114; Syllabus, Harless v. First National Bank in Fairmont, 162 W. Va. 116, 246 S.E.2d 270 (1978) (recognizing a cause of action for employment terminations that violate substantial public policy); and Syl. Pt. 2, Birthisel v. Tri-Cities Health Service, 188 W. Va. 371, 424 S.E.2d 606 (1992) (discussing the sources of public policy).
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of the Murray defendants' actions, plaintiff

  Cochenour has suffered lost wages and benefits in an amount to be proven at trial.

- 39. As a direct and proximate result of the Murray defendants' actions, Ms. Cochenour is entitled to damages for indignity, emotional distress, embarrassment, and mental anguish in an amount to be determined by the jury.
- 40. The Murray defendants' actions amount to gross fraud, malice, oppression, and/or involve wanton, willful, and/or reckless conduct and/or criminal indifference to civil obligations affecting the rights of others, entitling Ms. Cochenour to punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the jury.
- 41. The actions of the Murray defendants were willful, malicious, vexatious and/or oppressive entitling plaintiff Cochenour to her attorney fees and costs pursuant to the decisions of the Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Sex Discrimination on Violation of the WVHRA Against Defendants Murray Energy and Consolidation Coal)

- 42. Murray Energy is an employer under the WVHRA, W. Va. Code § 5-11-1 et seq., specifically § 5-11-3(d).
  - 43. Consolidation Coal is an employer under the WVIIRA, specifically § 5-11-3(d).
  - 44. Plaintiff Cochenour is an employee under the WVHRA. § 5-11-3(c).
- 45. Defendants' decision to terminate plaintiff's employment and/or to not rehire her was substantially motivated by her sex in violation of the WVHRA.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of the defendants' actions, plaintiff Cochenour has suffered lost wages and benefits in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of the defendants' actions, plaintiff is entitled to damages for indignity, emotional distress, embarrassment, and mental anguish in an amount to be determined by the jury.

- 48. Defendants' actions amount to gross fraud, malice, oppression, and/or involve wanton, willful, and/or reckless conduct and/or criminal indifference to civil obligations affecting the rights of others, entitling Ms. Cochenour to punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the jury.
- 49. Defendants' actions violated the WVHRA entitling plaintiff Cochenour to her attorney fees and costs pursuant to W. Va. Code § 5-11-13.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Aiding and Abetting Discrimination in Violation of the WVHRA Against Defendant Murray)

- 50. Defendant Murray is a "person" as defined by the WVHRA at W. Va. Code § 5-11-3(a).
- 51. Defendant Murray aided and abetted the other defendants in the discriminatory acts and omissions alleged in the Second Cause of Action, above.
  - 52. Defendant Murray thus violated the WVHRA, including § 5-11-9(7)(A).
- 53. As a direct and proximate result of the discrimination aided and abetted by Mr. Murray, plaintiff Cochenour has suffered lost wages and benefits in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 54. As a direct and proximate result of the discrimination aided and abetted by Mr. Murray, plaintiff Cochenour has suffered indignity, humiliation, embarrassment, and emotional distress in an amount to be determined by the jury.
- Defendant Murray's actions amount to gross fraud, malice, oppression, and/or involve wanton, willful, and/or reckless conduct and/or criminal indifference to civil obligations affecting the rights of others, entitling plaintiff Cochenour to punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the jury.

56. Defendant Murray's actions violated the WVHRA entitling plaintiff Cochenour to her attorney fees and costs pursuant to W. Va. Code § 5-11-13.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays that this Court award the following damages to the plaintiff:

- A. Past lost wages, employment benefits, and other compensation;
- B. Reinstatement to her employment with full semiority and benefits or, in lieu of reinstatement, future lost wages, employment benefits and other compensation;
- C. Prejudgment interest at 7%, the prevailing rate on the date on which plaintiff's causes of action accrued, from the date on which plaintiff's causes of action accrued through to the date of judgment on the award of wages, employment benefits, and other compensation lost to her:
- D. Reasonable attorney fees and costs pursuant to West Virginia Code as set forth above; and
- E. Such other and further relief as may appear just and equitable.

#### JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues triable to a jury.

Jean F. Cochenout, PLAINTIFF, BY COUNSEL.

ALLAN N. KARLIN (WV BAR # 1953)
JANEE PEAK (WV BAR # 7213)
ALLAN N. KARLIN & ASSOCIATES
174 CHANCERY ROW

MORGANTOWN, WV 26505

304-296-8266

# Robert E. Murray P.O. Box 13 St. Clairsville, Ohio 43950

May 29, 2014

Jean F. Cochenour 220 Falls Ave. Connellsville, PA 15425-1929

Dear Jean:

The coal industry and our jobs are being destroyed by President Barack Obama, his appointed bureaucrats, and his political supporters in the U. S. House and Senate. Our only hope to stop them is by electing friends of coal and our families and by wresting control of the U. S. Senate from these enemies of coal, maintaining our current U. S. House majority, and electing strong, effective state-level leadership.

To help accomplish this, a huge fundraising event is scheduled for 6:00 p.m., CDT, on Monday, June 16, 2014, at The Foundation Center, Southeastern Illinois College, in Harrisburg, Illinois. Our important guests will be former Senator Scott Brown of Massachusetts, now a candidate for the Senate in New Hampshire; Mr. Ed Gillespie, running for the Senate in Virginia; Mrs. Terri Lynn Land, our Senate candidate from Michigan; and Mr. Mike McFadden, running for the U. S. Senate in Minnesota. These good people have demonstrated their support for business, coal, and our jobs.

Senator Scott Brown shocked the nation on January 19, 2010 when he won the U. S. Senate seat previously held by Ted Kennedy in the liberal Massachusetts. He was the first Republican elected to the U.S. Senate from that state since 1972. During that campaign Senator Brown spent an entire day with me touring our Century Mine. He is an independent man who is my friend and a friend of coal.

Prior to his term in the United States Senate, Colonel Brown, a 35 year veteran and Judge Advocate General, served as a member of the Massachusetts House of Representatives (1998–2004) and then in the State Senate (2004–2010). Senator Brown has had a residence in New Hampshire for 23 years, and, in April, announced that he would run there for his return to the United States Senate. Colonel Scott, a graduate of Tufts University and the Boston College Law School, and his wife Gail have two grown daughters, who will both be married this summer.

Edward W. Gillespie is a renowned Republican political strategist who served as the 61st Chairman of the Republican National Committee, senior advisor to Governor Mitt Romney in 2012, and former Counselor to President George W. Bush. He was a principal architect of the Contract with America, the 1994 campaign platform that won Republican control of the United States House of Representatives for the first time in forty years.

The son of an Irish immigrant father, Ed grew up working in his parent's grocery store. There he learned the value of hard work and his conservative principals. He founded a premier Washington, D. C. public relations firm along with his other public contributions. He and his wife Cathy have three grown children and reside in Virginia near Mount Vernon.

Terri Lynn Land was Michigan's 41st Secretary of State for two terms ending in 2010. She was elected in 2002 by the largest margin in an open seat race in a generation and re-elected by historic margins.

Secretary Land worked with her father and grandfather to grow their motel business in Grandville, Michigan, where she began by cleaning rooms. From this she learned importance of hard work, balanced budgets, and customer service, which values have served her well in the Secretary of State office and her many charitable, community service, political, and business endeavors. These accomplishments have earned her both Honorary Doctors of Public Service and Laws degrees. She and her husband Dan have two children and reside at the La' Grande hotel site.

Mike McFadden is an American businessperson on a leave of absence from co-CEO of Lazard Middle Market, a Minneapolis-based investment bank that he developed over twenty-years, to defeat a very bad United States Senator from Minnesota who is an enemy of coal and our jobs. While this is his first bid for public office, he is in the lead to win this Senate seat.

Mr. McFadden, a native of Omaha, Nebraska, attended St. Thomas College in St. Paul and Georgetown University Law Center. He is passionate about educating our youth and has coached his sons in football for over a decade. Mike and his wife Mary Kate have a total of six children and live in Sunfish Lake.

We are requesting a \$200.00 contribution from you for <u>each</u> of these United States Senate candidates. Your checks should be payable to, "New Hampshire for Scott Brown", "Ed Gillespie for Senate", "Terri Lynn Land for Senate", and "McFadden for Senate". If you cannot give the requested amount, contribute what you can and join our evening, even if you cannot give at all.

Your contributions and the enclosed forms must be returned to me at P.O. Box 13, St. Clairsville, Ohio 43950 by June 12, 2014. Please join us at 6:00 p.m., CDT, on Monday, June 16, at The Foundation Center in Harrisburg, Illinois for this very important event for our industry and families.

Sincerely,

Robert E. Murray

Enclosures

## "New Hampshire for Scott Brown"

### Monday, June 16, 2014 - 6:00 p.m., CDT The Foundation Center Harrisburg, Illinois

| I/We will attend the "New Hampshire for Scott Brown" dinner and need reservation(s). Enclosed is my/our contribution of \$ |
|--|
| I/We cannot attend the dinner but anyare contributing \$ to ensure successful event.                                       |
| *PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION*  |
| Name(s)  |
| Address  |
| Occupation   |
| Employer   |
| Home Phone (optional)  |
| Email (optional)   |

Please return this form no later than June 12, 2014, in the enclosed envelope.

Make checks payable to "New Hampshire for Scott Brown"

Return to: P. O. Box 13 - St. Clairsville, Ohio 43950

### Thank you

Fundrariser (D. Laura Razza 21840 Event Code: DC-1010

Constitution, or, gift to New Hamphire Inc. Scott flown Commètre au not deducible as chadralle contributions for Policial income as purposes. Under Federal lew, the maximum amount on individual may contribute is \$200 (\$5,200 pt; comple) per election. Federal resolution PACs may contribute \$5,200 pt; election. Contributions from comparation, labor today, federal-government contractors, rational banks, frequential may contribute to the contribution is made by check or credit rated from any personal funds and is not drawn on an account contribution by an incorporated contribution will not be elimbured by another parameter resident and his contribution will not be elimbured by another parameter.

l'edetal hav requites us to obtain and report the name, misling address, décupration and name of employer for each individual whose contributions aggregate in excess of Sa't) per

If you prefer to mail your contribution, please tend to: NH for Scott Brown, P.O. Box 600, Ryc, NH 03870

If you authorize a recurring containation by credit card, your initial contribution will be charged insuculately, and then your could card will be charged on the 15th day of each succeeding month. You may caused you recurring contribution at any time by enabling determinant@contribution.

PLEASE NOTE: By contributing you authorize the receipt of electronic communications from New Hampshure for Scott Brown Committee. You can ope out at any time by

realitude "remova" to an email you receive

PAID FOR BY NEW HAMPSHIRE FOR SCOTT BROWN COMMITTEE

## "Ed Gillespie for Senate"

## Monday, June 16, 2014 - 6:00 p.m., CDT The Foundation Center

|                  | Harrisburg, Illinois  |
|------------------|---|
|                  | the "Ed Gillespie for Senate" dinner and need reservation(s). Enclosed is ion of \$ |
| VWe cannot atten | d the dinner but am/are contributing \$ to ensure a successful event.               |
| Name(s)          |   |
|                  |   |
|                  |   |
| Employer         |   |
|                  |   |
|                  |   |
|                  | Please return this form no later than   |
|                  | June 12, 2014, in the enclosed envelope.  |
|                  | Make checks payable to  |
|                  | "Ed Gillespie for Senate"   |

Return to: P.O. Box 13 - St. Clairsville, Ohio 43950

### Thank You

Contributions to Ed Gillespic for Senate are not tax deductible as charitable donations for federal income tax purposes. Contributions from corporations, foreign nationals (non green-card holders), labor unions and federal government contractors are prohibited. Contributions to Ed Gillespie for Senate will be used in connection with federal elections and are subject to the limits and prohibitions of federal law. Joint contributions require signatures of both spouses.

Paid for by Ed Gillespie for Senate

## "Terri Lynn Land for Senate"

| The Foundation Center  Harrisburg, Illinois   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| I/We will attend the "Terri Lynn Land for Senate" dinner and need reservation(s). Enclose my/our contribution of \$ |  |  |  |  |
| I/We cannot attend the dinner but am/are contributing \$ to ensure a successful event                               |  |  |  |  |
| Name(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| Address   |  |  |  |  |
| Occupation  |  |  |  |  |
| Employer  |  |  |  |  |
| Phone (Home)  |  |  |  |  |
| Email   |  |  |  |  |
| Please return this form no later than   |  |  |  |  |
| June 12, 2014, in the enclosed envelope.  |  |  |  |  |
| Make checks payable to  |  |  |  |  |
| "Terri Lynn Land for Senate"  |  |  |  |  |
| Return to: P.O. Box 13 - St. Clairsville, Ohio 43950  |  |  |  |  |
| Thank You   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |

income tax purposes. Contributions by corporations, foreign nationals (non-grafederal government contractors are prohibited.

| Paid for by Tc | miLynn I | Land to | ' Senate |
|----------------|----------|---------|----------|
|----------------|----------|---------|----------|